

आयक (अपील) का कार्यालय.

Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),



केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad जीएसटी अवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाडी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५. CGST Bhayan, Revenue Marg. Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015. T 07976305065-ਏਐਓਕਜ਼07926305136

DIN- 20231164SW0000333DBF

रजिस्टर्ड शक ए.श्रे. दारा

m

- पाइल संख्या File No : GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/2943/2023-APPEAL | \$5.9 1 K
- m अपील आदेश संख्या Order-in-Appeal Nos. AHM-CGST-001-APP-JC- 158 /2023-24
 - दिसांक Date :22.11.2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue : 28.11.2023 श्री आदेश कमार जैन संयक्त आयक्त (अपील) दारा पारित

M/s Anihar Global Private Limited.

- Passed by Shri Adesh Kumar Jain, Joint Commissioner (Appeals)
- = Arising out of Order-in-Original No. ZL2405230024219 dated 02-05-2023 issued by The Assistant Commissioner, CGST & CX, Div-VI, Ahmedabad South,

The Assistant Commissioner, CGST & CX

अपीलकर्ता का लाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent

	3F Ce De	D FLOOR, 308, Sukun Business intre, Swastik Char Rasta, Opp Jain ilry, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad, ijarat, 38000	Div-VI, Ahmedabad South
(A) प्राप्तिकारी के व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति विम्नतिबित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राप्तिकरण के समझ अपीत दावर कर सकता है। Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the way.		पाधिकरण के समक्ष अधील दायर कर सकता Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal	ti .
/B		National Sench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the cases who ne of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.	

State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other than as mentioned in para-(AlifiYabove in terms of Section 109/7) of CGST Act. 2017 (ii) Appeal to the Appellate Informal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of CRST Rules, 2017 and shall be accompanied with a fiel of \$8.00 mc the trausard for every Rs. One Lath of Tar or input Tax Credit involved or the difference in Tax or input Tax Credit involved or the amount of fine, fiel or penalty determined in the order appealed against, subject to a maximum of \$8.70 means, Five Thousand. (III) (B)

Appeal under Section 112(1) of CGST Act, 2027 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filled along with relevant (Adcuments either destronically or at any to a crotted by the Registers, Appellate Tribunal in PORM SCT) 05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2027, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against within zeroe days of Billing PORMS GST, AV-05 online.

Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017 after paying cell to de first better Applester income. The control 12.10 to set control 12.00 to set contr

the appeal has been filed.

The Central Goods & Service Tax | Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated 03.12.2019 has provided that the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months from the date of communication of Order or date on which the President or the State President, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribunal enters

office, whichever is later. उंदर्प अपीक्षीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाशिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए. अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइटwww.cbic.gov.in को देख सकते हैं।

relating to filing of appeal to the appellate authority, the For elaborate, detailed and latest appellant may refer to the website



ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s. Anihar Global Private Limited, 3st Ploor, 208, Subun Business Centre,
380009 [hereinather referred to as the 'appellam'] has filed the following present
suppeal on 80.06.2023 against Refund Rejection Order No. ZL2408330024219
dated 02.05.2023 (hereinafter referred to as the 'impuged refund order')
amounting to Rs. 51,04,9277-) passed by the Assistant Commissioner, COST,
Division - VI (Vastraput), Ahmedabad South Commissionerate (hereinafter referred
to as the 'adulation's quadriety' precising refund claim filed by the appellant.

2. Brief facts of the case in the present appeal is that the appellant registered under OSTIN 24AAICR4652C1ZL, has filed refund claim vide ARN No. AAAC933230397N dated 06.03.2023 for Rs. 51,04,927/- under the category "Any other" against ITC accumulated on account of export with payment of tax. The appellant was issued Show Cause Notice (SCN) on dated 27.03.2023 proposing rejection of refund on the following grounds:

Said claimant has failed to produce documentary evidence in respect of admissibility of said refund claim required under Rule 30 and 96 of CGST Rules 2017, Circular X6/44/2019-GST dated 18.11.2019 and Instruction 04/2022-GST dated 18.11.2019 to 40 GST dated 18.11.

Further, the adjudicating authority passed the impugned Order No. 2L2405230024219 dated 02.05.2023 and reject the claim amounting to Rs. 51.04.926/- for the following reasons:

- that said claimant is marked as Risky Exporter as per DGARM Report No. 21AM, and as per Instructions No. 04/2022- OST dated 28.11.2022, jurisdictional proper officer shall process refund of such exporter under the provision of Rule 89 of COST Rules 2017.
- that during the verification of said reflund claim, some discrepancies were observed and a SCN vide RFD-08 No. 3240330437728 dated 27.03.203was issued to the claimant. Personal Hearing in the matter was fixed on 31.03.2033. However, said claimant has neither filed reply of said SCN nor angear for personal hearing.
- that Superintendent, AR-IV, Division-VI vide letter dated 25.04.2023 has submitted that DOOI, AZV, Ahmedabad vide letter dated 02.08.2021 has informed that said claimant has been involved in fake ITC and requested not to dischurse any refund to said claimant till the clearance from their office.

- that Principle of Natural justice has been followed by issuing Show Cause Notice and granting Personal Hearing to the said claimant in present case. However, no response received from the said claimant. In absence of any reply to the said SCN dated 27.03.2023 from the claimant, the genuineness of the claim could not be ascertained. Further, said claimant is involved in case of fake ITC and matter is under investigation. Therefore the said refund claim is liable for rejection.
- Being aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant preferred present appeal on 02.05.2023 on the following grounds:
 - The Respondent has passed the impugned order on 02.05.2021, without (1) considering the Principles of Natural Justice of giving an proper oportunity of being heard;
 - Vague and Unclear Show cause Notice; (fii)
 - Impugned order without proper opportunity of being heard The impugned fifil order to the extent it is against the Appellant, has been passed on the basis of assumptions, presumptions, conjectures and surmises and without proper consideration of facts, records, opportunity of being heard, and is therefore, liable to be set aside, to the extent the impugned order is against the Appellant;
- Ground 1: Compulsory sanction of Provisional Refund BHAGYANAGAR COPPER PRIVATE LIMITED Vs THE CENTRAL BOARD OF INDIRECT TAX AND CUSTOMS AND 5 OTHERS (HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA WRIT PETITION No. 15804 of 2021} The order passed by the Respondent to the extent of rejection of refund (v)

amount of Rs 51,04,927/- may please required to be quashed and set aside in the interest of justice and refund of accumulated ITC may please be granted and Interest on delayed sanction of refund under Section 56 of the CGST Act 2017 be granted to the appellant.

PERSONAL HEARING:

Personal Hearing in the matter was held on 25.10.2023. Mr. Jaykishan K. Vidhwani, C.A., appeared as authorized representative. During Personal Hearing he has stated that in the identical issue, the order has already been passed by the Additional Commissioner (A), OIA No. AHM-CGST-001/APP-ADC-140/2022-23 dated 21.10.2022. Further they have relied upon Hon'ble Gujarat High Court order in case of M/s. Choksi Exports Vs 001 SCA No. 23798 of 2022 and Hon'ble High Court of Telangana Writ Petition No. 15804 of 2021 wherein it was held that 90% of the refund under Section 54(6) shall be allowed. He further re-iterated the written submissions and requested to allow appeal.

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS:

- 5. I have gone through the facts of the case, written submissions made by the 'appellant' along-with appeal memorandum and available records. The main issue to be decided in the instant case is whether the refund application filed by the appellant in respect of ITC accumulated on account of export without payment of tax is allowed or not as per the provisions under the COST Act, 2017.
- 6. In the instant case appellant has contended that the impugned order dated 20.05.023 has been passed without considering the Principles of Natural Justice of giving an proper opportunity of being heard. However, it is observed that Personal Hearing was fixed on 31.03.2023, however the appellant has neither filed reply of SCN nor appear for personal hearing, in view of the above it is observed that in the "Subcagaen that justice has been followed before issuance of order."

with purther, in the instant case adjudicating authority is contending that the second contended in the contended and th

7(III). In this regard the appellant have relied upon case of M/s. Choleal Exports w.,
Union of India and M/s. Bhagyanagar Copper Prt Ld Vs. The CBIC and others
Hen/ble High Court of Tellangans Wit Petition No. 15804 of 2021 wherein it was
held that 20% of the retund under Section 54(0) shall be allowed whether the
suppliers of the suppliers (22) are identified as suspicious suppliers, the said
suppliers and the value of ITO availed its less than 10% of the total ITO availed the
respondents ought to have granted provisional retund to the petitioner to the estent
of 90% as provided under Section 54(4) read with Rius 91 of the COST Rules.

- 8. Further department contended that the appellant has failed to produce documentary evidence in respect of admissibility of said refund claim required under Rule 89 and 96 of COSIT Rules 2017, Circutar 125/44/2019-681° dated 18.11.2019 and Instruction 04/2022-087 dated 28.11.2022 issued by GST Policy Wing, CBIC. In this regard appellant has stated that before the issuance of show cause notice the appellant had only exported goods and submitted slipping bill which is deemed refund application as per the provisions of Rule 96(1) of COST Rules.
- 9. In view of above discussions, I allow the appeal of the 'Appellant' with a direction to submit all 'the relevant documents/submission before the refund sanctioning authority for verification of the facts, who shall verify the facts and outcome of investigation/clearance from DGGI as mentioned in the Order in Original and pass the order accordingly.

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त वरीके से किया जाता है। The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms,

(Adesh Rumar Jain)
Joint Commissioner (Appeals)
Date: 2-11.2023

(Santiheer Kumar) Superintendent (Appeals)

By R.P.A.D.

Attested

To M/s. Anihar Global Private Limited, 3rd Floor, 308, Sukun Business Centre,

Swastik Char Rasta, Opposite Jain Diary, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad, Gujarat : 380009

Copy to:

The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.

2. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Excise, Appeals, Ahmedabad
3. The Commissioner, Central GST & C.Ex, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate.

4. The Dy / Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C.Ex, Division-VI [Vastrapur], Ahrr South Commissionerate.

 The Superintendent (Systems), CGST Appeals, Ahmedabad, for publication of gebeite.
 Chizard Elle.

7. P.A. File.

